October 2008 Progress Report Scope, Schedule, Cashflow and Funding

Project #: 74.9 Santa Margarita River Bridge & Double Track Date: 10/14/08 **GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION PROJECT STATUS** Check the appropriate box: Project work is on-going using allocated TCRP funds only Project work is on-going using non-TCRP funds only Project work is on-going using allocated TCRP funds and non-TCRP funds All TCRP allocated funds have been expended and the project work is on-going using non-TCRP funds Project work has been suspended Please describe below reason Project has been suspended. Project work is complete CLOSE - OUT PROCESS (only if Project and/or Phase is complete, or TCRP fully expended) Close out report was submitted on Final invoice will be submitted on Close out report will be submitted on There was a project savings Input proportionate amount of TCRP savings (indicate TCRP funds savings only, in \$1,000s) Go to the TCRP website at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/ocip/tcrp/closeoutprocess/coguidance.pdf PROJECT SCOPE Please describe below if the project scope has changed from the last Commission approved application/amendment. PROJECT SCHEDULE Scope Start End Environmental Aug-02 Oct-05 2 Plans, Specification & Estimates Jul-06 Sep-08 3 Right of Way Acquisition 4 Construction Nov-08 Mar-11 Procurement Does this information reflect a change in the currently approved project schedule? Yes If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below: The information provided matches that provided to Division of Rail in July 2008, when the project's allocations were finally cleared by both CTC and DOF.

PROJECT CASHFLOW

Indicate amount in \$1,000s

APPROVED TCRP ALLOCATION(S)						Estimated Remaining Cashflow Need																	
Phase	Scope	Current Approved Allocation(s) Phase		xpenditures as of September 2008		mainder of 2008 09		FY 009-10	FY	2010- 11	FY 2011-12		FY 2012-13		FY)13-14	F 2014	Y 4-15		FY 15-16	FY	2016 17	eyond 2016-17	Total
1	Environmental	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$	_	\$	-	\$ -	9	; -	\$	_	\$		\$	_	\$	_	\$ _	\$ _
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$		\$		s -	9	s -	s	_	\$		\$		\$	_	\$ _	\$ _
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	9	· -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	\$ -
4	Construction	\$ 23,0	07 \$		\$	508	\$	10,660	\$	9,930	\$ 1,9	09 \$; -	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	_	\$	\$ 23,007
4	Procurement	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$ -	9	; -	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
		\$ 23,0	07 \$	-	\$	508	\$	10,660	\$	9,930	\$ 1,9	9 \$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 23,007

APPROVED LONP(S)						Estimated LONP Expenditure by Fiscal Year															1				
Phase	Scope	Approved LC Amount by Phase	y	Expenditures Made by Loca Agency as o September 20	al F		FY 2009-10	FY	2010- 11		FY 011-12	2	FY 2012-13	1	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-		FY 2015-16	FY	2016 17		Beyond FY 2016-17	1	Total	
1	Environmental	\$		\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	Estimated (month/year) When
2	Plans, Specification & Estimates	s																							
		\$.	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$		\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ 	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
4	Construction/ Procurement	\$		\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ 	\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	
		\$		\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	

FUTURE ALLOCATIONS				Projected Schedule of Future Allocation Proportions by Fiscal Year																			
Phase	Scope		ocation(s) No Approved by Phase		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	FY	2011 12	FY	2012- 13		FY 2013-14	2	FY 2014-15	2	FY 015-16	20	FY 016-17		eyond 2016-17		Total
1	Environmental	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		8		\$		s		\$		\$	
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	9		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ				Ψ		Ψ		ę		Ψ		Ψ	
3	Right of Way	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	<u> </u>	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
4	Construction	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
4	Procurement	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	-
		\$	_	\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$	_

	Projected Cashflow by Fiscal Year for Future Allocations Listed Above														1				
	FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11		FY 2011 12		FY 2012- 13		FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15		FY 2015-16		FY 2016-17		Beyond FY 2016-17			Total
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 1	\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 2	\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 3	\$ -	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	_
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 4	\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 4	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	\$ 	\$		\$	_	\$	_	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-

PROJECT FUNDING PLAN

Indicate amount in \$1,000s

Source	Туре		P	hase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,007	\$ 23,007
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Prop 1B	State	Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,206	\$ 16,206
Intercity Rail		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -
STIP IIP	State	Committed	\$	500	\$ 2,987	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,487
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
		Committed	\$	-	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -
		Committed	\$		\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ •
		Committed	\$	-	\$	\$	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	\$	\$ -	\$ -
	Totals	Committed	\$	500	\$ 2,987	\$ -	\$ 39,213	\$ 42,700
	1	Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Does this information reflect a change in the currently approved project funding plan?

If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below:

The last approved spending plan was modified by DOR and approved by the CTC through an allocation of Prop 1B Intercity Rail funds, instead of STIP IIP funds, for the construction phase of this project.